

PARTIAL CAPTURES AND DIFFLUENCE SURFACES. EXEMPLES FROM THE NORTHERN KARST AREA OF PĂDUREA CRAIULUI MOUNTAINS

BY

I. ORĂȘEANU

Starting from the examples furnished by the Pădurea Craiului Mountains karst, methodological considerations needed for drawing up the hydrogeological balance of karstic areas lead the author to define the concept of karstic basin diffluence and the notion of diffluence surface, with specification of their role and place in the structure of the hydrogeological karstic systems.

Hydrogeological investigations carried out in the northern part of the karstic area of Pădurea Craiului Mountains showed major developing capture phenomena, that induce a diversion in the epigeal hydrographic network of karstic terrains. In this case the task of the surface drainage is taken over by underground drainage.

Thus, Luncilor valley, which is the upper section of Mișid brook, is affected by losses along the channel through the alluvium of the streambed on two sections. The phenomenon is visible especially during draught periods, when the whole course of the valley is swept away by diffuse infiltrations. The tracings performed¹ indicated that waters of this sinking brook appear on the Brățanilor outlet, situated to the east, in the hydrographic basin of Brățuța brook.

Mniera valley crosses south-north wards the karstic area under study down to the swallet cave of Potrița, where it sinks, its water emerging on the border of Borod basin, in the spring of Aștileu. In its median section, not far from the Cornet, Mniera valley spans a strongly alluviated portion, where hydrometric gauging indicated heavy infiltrations in the riverbed which, during draught periods, may reach some 75% of the brook upstream this capture area (30 l/sec.). These partial losses are directed by underground path out of the hydrographic basin of the Mniera brook, toward the spring of Moara Jurji².

¹ The tracing was performed in September 19, 1982, in cooperation with Jurkiewicz and Gașpar, under of diffuse total loss downstream Moanei cave. The exit of the tracer_n used, In-EDTT and Rhodamine B, was recorded after 60 hours at Brățanilor spring, situated 5200 m away and 289 m downward.

² In December 19, 1982, the diffuse partial loss from Cornet was traced with Rhodamine B. The exit of the tracer through the checking section from Moara Jurjii spring developed in two stages, two and four days after injection. The aerial distance between the two points is 1700 m, for a height difference of 80 m.

Since the heavy discharge of the spring from Aștileu could not be exclusively ascribed to the supply due to Mniara brook and to the diffuse infiltration on the nearby karstic plateau, our attention turned to the upper course of Topa brook, a tributary of Crișul Negru. Hydrogeological investigations identified several karstic capture areas, associated here too with strongly alluviated stream sections on the Topa brook and on some of its tributaries, the losses in drought periods being total on Poienii and Topa valleys and partial on Pestiș valley (Fig. 1). The tracings with In-EDTA performed in cooperation with E. Gașpar established the slow transit of this waters to the spring of Aștileu, under the watershed of the hydrographic basins of Crișul Negru and Crișul Repede³.

In terms of hydrography, this type of capture by which the waters infiltrated in a hydrographic basin are recovered subsequently to an underground travel, in another hydrographic basin, was designated by Bleahu (1957) as heterohydrographic capture, in distinction of cohydrographic captures, by which the water sunk in a certain hydrographic basin emerges in the same basin after an underground flow, and of the endohydrographic captures, which affect a closed hydrographic basin, and to which hydrogeologists usually refer to as total captures⁴.

The cohydrographic and heterohydrographic captures are partial losses in the bed of surface stream, initially due to reduced diffuse seepage. They gradually modify the surface course regime from perennial to temporary and are morphologically marked by strong accumulation of alluvia in the capture area, following the alluvia deposition induced by the reduction of the liquid flow. Once the surface course penetrates entirely in the underground, when an antithetic

³ The partial loss from Pestiș valley was traced with 17,1 g In-EDTA in July 4, 1983, the samples being collected continuously at Aștileu spring. Since the tracer had not appeared at the spring during the elapsed time interval, in October 15, 1983 a new tracing was performed with 20 g In-EDTA in the total loss from Poienii valley. The passage of the tracer was recorded at Aștileu spring starting from October 20, 1983 through April 24, 1984. During this time interval, computations relying on the recorded flow showed that 25 g In-EDTA has been recovered, hence, a larger quantity than any of those injected in each of the swallets, clearly indicating the flow of the waters of both of them toward this spring. The aerial distance between the loss from Pestiș valley and Aștileu spring is 11350 m, while that from the loss in Poienii valley is 8650 m, the level differences being of 82 m and 133 m, respectively.

We suppose that the tracer quantity that had not been recovered was carried away by a deep flow which contributes to the supply of the hydrothermal structures from Oradea-Felix-1 Mai area, situated more than 20 km away to the west. This statement is supported by the hydrogeological water-budget drawn up for the karstic area of Pădurea Craiului Mountains, which shows only a partial flow toward the Aștileu spring of the water infiltrated on the Topa valley-Aștileu spring diffidence surface. This area actually behaves as a "polydiffidence surface".

⁴ Underground connections between the endohydrographic captures and springs shown in Fig. 1 were carried out by Rusu in the 1966—1974 time interval (Rusu, 1981) and by Orășeanu in cooperation with Gașpar (Tomii swallet-Izbindiș spring, Recea swallet-Vintului Cave, Barc swallet-Roșia spring, Fântinele swallet-Toplicioarei spring, Peșteruța swallet-Dămișenilor spring) and with Iurkiewicz (Sincuta swallet-Peștera cu Apă le la Bulz) in the 1980—1983 period.

step may often appear, the capture undergoes a further development stage and becomes an endohydrographic capture. i.e. a total loss.

The distinction between the cohydrographic and heterohydrographic captures is no doubt almost arbitrary, depending on the




Fig. 2. Partial captures and position of the difffluence surfaces. a — Cohydrographic partial capture; b — Heterohydrographic partial capture; c — Endohydrographic (total) capture; H.K.S. — Hydrogeological karst system; D.S. — Difffluence surface; 1 — Karstifiable rocks; 2 — Nonkarstifiable rock; 3 — Difffluence surface; 4 — Superficial watershed between rivers; 5 — Superficial watershed between brooks; 6 — Limit of hydrogeological karst system; 7 — Underground flow direction; 8 — Efficient rain; 9 — Output from the system; 10 — Infiltrations.

scale on which the analysis is performed and is principally serviceable in solving the problems arisen by the hydrogeological waters budget on the karstic areas. Thus, the capture of the upper Mișid course by Brățanilor spring may be considered as cohydrographic if the analysis is performed the scale of the whole basin of the Crișul Repede river, or as heterohydrographic if it is performed the scale of the Mountains of Pădurea Craiului.

In order to draw up the water budget of a karstic area one must establish the catchment areas of the main sources which stretch also in the area upstream of the partial captures of the hydrographic basins and which contribute, by means of the infiltrated fraction to the recharge of those sources.

For a pertinent hydrogeological particularization, the hydrographic basin area upstream the partial capture was designated as diffuence surface, while the concept of karstic basin diffuence was suggested for the phenomenon itself (Orășeanu, Iurkiewicz, 1982).

The karstic basin diffuence is a process dividing the available water quantity of a hydrographic basin⁵, as a consequence of partial capture, into an infiltrated fraction supplying an underground flow directed outside the hydrographic basin of origin and a fraction that flows permanently or temporarily along the river channel downstream the partial capture.

In addition to the water of the partial capture, the underground flow directed toward another hydrographic basin may be also supplied by the infiltrations on the karstic terrains situated within the diffuence surface. However, the diffuence surface does not include the karstic areas devoid of epirogen drainage (the karstic plateau) and it may be located on karstic terrains alone, or, on terrains consisting of both karstic and nonkarstic deposits.

The existence of the karstic basin diffuence, in the diffuence surface is an incipient stage in the hydrogeological evolution of hydrographic basin currently subjected to capture by an external source. The notion of karstic diffuence is dynamic in both time and space. The diffuence surface migrate because of the extension of the capture area of a certain source, indicating the direction and degree of development of the hydrogeological karst system⁶.

The diffuence surfaces are fully incorporated in the hydrogeological karst system to the supply of which they contribute by the infiltrated fraction. Estimation of the water volumes with furnished to the supply of the system is performed, according to hydrologic criteria, by installing a discharge gauge station downstream the partial capture. The gauged discharge is considered as an output of the system tending to diminish constantly in time.

The hydrogeological karst system includes both the karstic terrains, displaying a karstic-like underground water circulation, as well

⁵ The available water quantity of a hydrographic basin is that left for the runoff and infiltration, i.e. after subtracting the evapotranspiration from the precipitation on the basin surface.

⁶ Term introduced by Mijatović (1981).

as the non-karstic terrains, the flow of which contributes entirely or partially by the karstic basin diffuence phenomena, to the supply of the same spring or group of springs being interconnected, during a given time interval.

The data concerning the hydrogeological features of the diffuence surfaces in Pădurea Craiului Mountains⁷ and the effective infiltration to the runoff ratio on these surfaces will be the object of another study of these mountains.

The overall hydrogeological picture of the Pădurea Craiului Mountains is characterized by the presence of a unitary karstic aquifer in which there is a deep circulation from the east to the west overlaid by numerous underground „superficial“ (epidermic) ones which discharge at the periphery of the massif, by sources with overflow meaning, the water excess resulting from the rainfall on its surface and which can't be involved in deep circulation.

The karst waters with deep circulation, while moving westwards are thermalized as a consequence of the hyperthermol regime of the area adjacent to the Pannonian Basin and are partially discharged by the sources in the Felix-Oradea-1 Mai zone, which is part of the vast karstic aquifer.

In this complex circulation, the phenomena of karstic basin diffuence points out the relations between the hydrographic basins and „superficial“ underground circulation, against the background of a regional diffuence which distributes the available amount of water for runoff and infiltration of the Pădurea Craiului Mountains karstic zone between the deep circulation on the one hand and the superficial underground circulation and the runoff out of the massif, on the other hand.

REFERENCES

- BLEAHU M. (1957) — *Captarea carstică și importanța ei pentru evoluția morfologică a regiunilor carstice* — In „Probleme de geografie“, vol. V, București.
- CASTANY G., MARGAT J. (1977) — *Dictionnaire française d'hydrogeologie* — BRGM, Orléans.
- GASPAR E., STĂNESCU S. P., ORĂȘEANU I., FARCAȘIU O. M., SPIRIDON Ștefania (1984) — *The behaviour of indium as a tracer for karst water research* — This volume.
- HERAK M., MAGDALENIĆ A., BAHUN S. (1981) — *Karst hydrogeology* — In „Pollution and Water Resources“, Columbia University Seminar Series, vol. XIV, part 1, Pergamon Press.
- KOMATINA M. (1967) — *On the problem of determination of groundwater divides and directions of groundwater circulation in the karst* — In „Bull. Geol. Geophys. Res“, Series B, No. 4—5, 1964/1965, Beograd.
- LeGRAND H. E., LaMOREAUX P. E. (1975) — *Hydrogeology and Hydrology of Karst* — In „Hydrogeology of karstic terrains“, IAH, Paris, Edited by Burger A. and Dubertret L.

⁷ Besides the three diffuence surfaces mentioned here (Mișid valley-Brățeanilor spring, Mniara valley-Miara Jurjii spring, Topa valley-Aștileu spring), in the northern part of Pădurea Craiului Mountains, a fourth one Boiu valley-Peștera cu Apă de la Bulz exists (Orășeanu, Jurkiewicz, 1982).

- MIHAILESCU C., MIHAILESCU Liliانا, COMAN C. (1980, 1982) — Rapoarte geologice — Arh. IPGG Bucuresti.
- MANGIN A. (1974, 1975) — *Contribution a l'etude hydrodynamique des aquiferes karstiques* — Ann. Spéléol. No. 29/3, 29/4, 30/1. Paris.
- MIJATOVIĆ B. F. (1981) — *Genesis and functioning of hydrogeological karst system* — In "Bull. of speleological society", Vol. IV, No. 10—11, Sarajevo.
- MILANOVIĆ P. T. (1981) — *Karst Hydrogeology* — Water Resources Publications, U.S.A.
- ORĂȘEANU I., GASPARE E. (1980—1981) — *Cercetări cu trasori radioactivi privind stabilirea zonei de alimentare a cursului subteran din Peștera Vântului (Munții Pădurea Craiului)* — In „Nymphaea”, VIII—IX, Oradea.
- ORĂȘEANU I., JURKIEVICZ A. (1982) — *Phénomènes de capture karstique dans la partie orientale des Monts Pădurea Craiului* — In „Trav. Inst. Spéol. Emile Racovitza”, t. XXI, București.
- PATRULIUS D., POPA Elena, CÎMPEANU St., ORĂȘANU Th. (1973) — Harta geologică a R.S.R., scara 1 : 50.000, foaia Remeți.
- PATRULIUS D., BORDEA S. (1981) — Harta geologică a Munților Pădurea Craiului, sectorul central. Arh. I.G.G. București.
- RUSU T. (1978) — *Considération générales sur les dépressions de capture karstique des monts Pădurea Craiului* — In „Trav. Inst. Spéol. Emile Racovitza”, t. XVII, București.
- RUSU T. (1981) — *Les drainages souterrains de Monts Pădurea Craiului* — In „Trav. Inst. Spéol. Emile Racovitza”, t. XX, București.

CAPTĂRI PARȚIALE ȘI SUPRAFETE DE DIFLUENȚĂ
EXEMPLE DIN ZONA CARSTICĂ NORDICĂ
A MUNȚILOR PĂDUREA CRAIULUI

Rezum at

Cercetările hidrogeologice efectuate în partea nordică a zonei carstice a Munților Pădurea Craiului au evidențiat prezența unor captări carstice majore, în plină desfășurare, care conduc la dezorganizarea rețelei hidrografice superficiale. Acestea sînt reprezentate de captările carstice parțiale prin care apele unor bazine hidrografice sînt transferate pe căi subterane spre surse situate în afara acestor bazine.

Astfel cursul superior al văii Mișidului alimentează parțial izbul Brătcenilor, valea Mniera este drenată parțial de izbul de la Moara Jurjii, apele superficiale din bazinul superior al pîriului Topa sînt captate parțial de către izbul Aștileu pe sub cumpăna hidrografică dintre rîurile Crișul Negru și Crișul Repede, iar cele ale pîriului Boiu se regăsesc în parte în cursul subteran care apare din Peștera cu Apă le la Bulz.

Plecînd de la aceste constatări, din considerente metodologice ridicate de întocmirea bilanțului hidrogeologic al zonelor carstice, se definește conceptul de difluență carstică de bazin și noțiunea de suprafață de difluență, făcîndu-se totodată precizări asupra rolului și locului acestora în cadrul sistemelor hidrogeologice carstice.

Manuscript received 3rd August, 1985

Address of the author: Iancu ORĂȘEANU, Intreprinderea de Prospecțiuni Geologice și Geofizice, Str. Caransebeș nr. 1 78768 București, Romania